



AF/2179  
THW

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

**William Hsiao-Yu Ku**

Serial No. 09/925,258

Filed: August 9, 2001

For: Entry Panel Processing  
System

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Examiner: Sara M. Hanne

Art Unit: 2179

**APPEAL BRIEF**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This Brief is submitted in support of the Appeal in the above-identified application.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  
37 CFR 1.8(a)**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First-Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on the date below:

January 10, 2006

*Robert V. Wilder*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

**APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 1 OF 26**

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

INVENTOR(S) : Ku et al  
APPL. NUMBER: 09/925,258  
FILED: 8/9/2001  
TITLE: ENTRY PANEL PROCESSING  
SYSTEM

GROUP ART UNIT: 2179  
EXAMINER: Sara M. Hanne

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to "Honorable Commissioner For Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450", on the date set forth below:

Signed:

*Robert V. Wilder*

Name: Robert V. Wilder

Date: January 10, 2006

Honorable Commissioner For Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**Response to PTOL-462 Notification re: 37 CFR 41.37**

The enclosed Appeal Brief is submitted in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed 12-23-2005.

Respectfully submitted,

*Robert V. Wilder*

Robert V. Wilder (Tel: 512-246-8555)  
Registration No. 26,352  
Attorney for Applicants  
4235 Kingsburg Drive  
Round Rock, Texas 78681



TABLE OF CONTENTS

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST .....	4
RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES .....	4
STATUS OF CLAIMS .....	4
STATUS OF AMENDMENTS .....	4
SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER .....	4
GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL .....	10
ARGUMENT .....	11
I. With regard to the rejection of claims 1, 2, 5, 11-12, 15 and 22-23 under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Trueblood, it is respectfully submitted that there is no disclosure, or teaching in Trueblood sufficient to anticipate the total combination of elements and relationships as presently set forth in the noted claims. .... 11	
II. With regard to the rejection of claims 3-4 and 13-14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trueblood in view of Wilks, it is submitted that there is no suggestion in either reference for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination fails to suggest several of the claimed features.. 14	
III. With regard to the rejection of claims 6-10 and 16-20 as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori, it is submitted that even the hypothetical combination of Trueblood and Ohmori cannot render claims 6-10 and 16-20 obvious under 35 USC 103(a) since there is no suggestion in	

APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 2 OF 26

Serial Number 09/925,258  
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1

78 either reference for the proposed combination and even the  
79 proposed combination fails to suggest several of the claimed  
80 features. .... 15

81  
82 IV. With regard to the rejection of claim 21 as being  
83 unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of  
84 Ohmori, it is submitted that even the hypothetical combination of  
85 Trueblood and Ohmori cannot render claim 21 obvious under 35 USC  
86 103(a) since there is no suggestion in either reference for the  
87 proposed combination and even the proposed combination fails to  
88 suggest several of the claimed features. .... 15

89  
90 CONCLUSION ..... 16

91  
92 CLAIMS APPENDIX ..... 18-24

93  
94 EVIDENCE APPENDIX ..... 25

95  
96 RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX ..... 26

97

98 REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

99  
100 The present application is assigned to International Business  
101 Machines Corporation, the real party in interest.  
102

103  
104 RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

105  
106 There are no related Appeals or Interferences currently pending.  
107  
108

109 STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

110  
111 Claims 1-23 are pending and stand finally rejected by the  
112 Examiner as noted in the Final Office Action mailed May 17, 2005.  
113 The rejection of claims 1-23 is hereby being appealed.  
114  
115

116 STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

117  
118 No Amendments have been filed subsequent to the Final Rejection.  
119  
120

121 SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

122  
123 The subject patent application includes independent claims 1, 11  
124 and 23, and the remaining claims ultimately depend from and

APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 4 OF 26

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1

include all of the limitations of one of the independent claims. Claim 1 recites a method embodying the present invention, claim recited a user terminal embodying the present invention and claim 23 recites a storage medium embodying the present invention. A concise explanation of the claimed subject matter is defined in each of the independent claims 1, 11 and 23, which, along with exemplary specification and drawing references, are set forth below.

1. A method for processing a display of an entry panel window on a display device (e.g. 105, 221) of a user terminal (e.g. 101), said entry panel window being selectively caused to appear on said display device to enable input of information in order to effect a continuation of an application coupled to said user terminal from a remote server, said method comprising:

enabling a user to specify entry panel window parameters (e.g. Figure 3, 315), said entry panel window parameters being selectively applicable for defining predetermined characteristics (e.g. page 9, lines 8-34) associated with a display of said entry panel window;

detecting a receipt of a request at said user terminal from said application at said remote server to present an entry panel window on said display device (e.g. page 10, line 8 et seq., Figures 4 & 5);

displaying said entry panel window received from said remote

**APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 5 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

153 server in accordance with said entry panel window parameters  
154 specified by said user; and  
155  
156 enabling said input of information (e.g. page 2, lines 1-6) by  
157 said user into said entry panel window (e.g. page 8, lines 1-4,  
158 Figure 4, 409, 411) in order to effect said continuation of said  
159 application.

160  
161 11. A user terminal (e.g. 101) including input means (e.g. 107,  
162 213, 215) and a display device (e.g. 105, 221), said user  
163 terminal being selectively operable to effect a display of an  
164 entry panel window to enable input of information through said  
165 input means in order to effect a continuation of an application  
166 coupled to said user terminal from a remote server, said user  
167 terminal further including:

168  
169 means for enabling a user to specify entry panel window  
170 parameters (e.g. Figure 3, 315), said entry panel window  
171 parameters being selectively applicable for defining  
172 predetermined characteristics (e.g. page 9, lines 8-34)  
173 associated with a display of said entry panel window;

174  
175 means for detecting a receipt of a request at said user terminal  
176 from said application at said remote server to present an entry  
177 panel window on said display device (e.g. page 10, line 8 et  
178 seq., Figures 4 & 5);

179  
180 means for displaying (e.g. 105, 221) said entry panel window

181 received from said remote server on said display device in  
182 accordance with said entry panel window parameters specified by  
183 said user; and  
184

185 means for enabling said input of information (e.g. page 2, lines  
186 1-6) by said user into said entry panel window (e.g. page 8,  
187 lines 1-4, Figure 4, 409, 411) in order to effect said  
188 continuation of said application.  
189

190 23. A storage medium (e.g. 205, 207, 218, 219, 222) including  
191 machine readable coded indicia, said storage medium being  
192 selectively coupled to a reading device, said reading device  
193 being selectively coupled to processing circuitry (e.g. 201)  
194 within a computer system, said reading device being selectively  
195 operable to read said machine readable coded indicia and provide  
196 program signals representative thereof, said program signals  
197 being effective to enable for processing a display of an entry  
198 panel window on a display device (e.g. 105, 221) of a user  
199 terminal (e.g. 101), said entry panel window being selectively  
200 caused to appear on said display device to enable input of  
201 information in order to effect a continuation of an application  
202 coupled to said user terminal from a remote server, said program  
203 signals being further selectively operable for:  
204

205 enabling a user to specify entry panel window parameters (e.g.  
206 Figure 3, 315), said entry panel window parameters being  
207 selectively applicable for defining predetermined characteristics  
208 (e.g. page 9, lines 8-34) associated with a display of said entry

**APPEAL BRIEF**  
**PAGE 7 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**



209 panel window;  
210  
211 detecting a receipt of a request at said user terminal from said  
212 application at said remote server to present an entry panel  
213 window on said display device (e.g. page 10, line 8 et seq.,  
214 Figures 4 & 5);  
215  
216 displaying said entry panel window received from said remote  
217 server in accordance with said entry panel window parameters  
218 specified by said user; and  
219  
220 enabling said input of information (e.g. page 2, lines 1-6) by  
221 said user into said entry panel window (e.g. page 8, lines 1-4,  
222 Figure 4, 409, 411) in order to effect said continuation of said  
223 application.  
224  
225 Dependent claims 2-10 ultimately depend from and include all of  
226 the limitations of independent claim 1.  
227  
228 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 2 adds the  
229 recitation that the entry panel window is always displayed on top  
230 of other windows (e.g. p.9, 114 et seq., Figure 4, 407, 409).  
231  
232 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 3 adds the  
233 recitation that said entry panel window parameters include a  
234 specification that said entry panel window intermittently appears  
235 on top of other windows appearing on said display device (e.g.  
236 Figure, 315 and p9, 118-22).

**APPEAL BRIEF**  
**PAGE 8 OF 26**

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

237  
238 To the combination set forth in claim 3, claim 4 adds the  
239 recitation that said entry panel window is caused to appear on  
240 top of other windows appearing on said display device at regular  
241 intervals (e.g. Figure 3, 315 "...every 15 seconds").  
242  
243 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 5 adds the  
244 recitation that said entry panel window parameters include a  
245 specification of a perceptible alert signal, and generating said  
246 perceptible alert signal in response to said detecting (e.g. p9,  
247 121-22).  
248  
249 To the combination set forth in claim 5, claim 6 adds the  
250 recitation that said perceptible alert signal is an audio alert  
251 signal designed to alert said user to a detection of said entry  
252 panel window (e.g. p9, 120-26).  
253  
254 To the combination set forth in claim 6, claim 7 adds the  
255 recitation of enabling a user to select said audio alert signal  
256 from a number of different audio alert signals (e.g. p9, 124-26).  
257  
258 To the combination set forth in claim 5, claim 8 adds the  
259 recitation that said perceptible alert signal is a video alert  
260 signal designed to alert said user to a detection of said entry  
261 panel window (e.g. p9, 126-30).  
262  
263 To the combination set forth in claim 8, claim 9 adds the  
264 recitation of enabling a user to select said video alert signal

**APPEAL BRIEF**  
**PAGE 9 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

265 from a number of different video alert signals (e.g. p9, 126-30,  
266 Figure 3, 315).

267  
268 To the combination set forth in claim 5, claim 10 adds the  
269 recitation of enabling a user to select a combination of audio  
270 and video alert signals wherein said combination of alert signals  
271 is designed to alert said user to a detection of said entry panel  
272 window (e.g. p9, 128-32, Figure 3, 315).

273  
274 The recited elements of dependent claims 12-20 correspond to the  
275 added recitations cited above in claims 2-10, respectively, for a  
276 user terminal.

277  
278 To the combination set forth in claim 11, claim 21 adds the  
279 recitation that said user terminal is a wireless device (e.g.  
280 p11, 11-6).

281  
282 To the combination set forth in claim 11, claim 22 adds the  
283 recitation that said user terminal comprises a personal computer  
284 (e.g. p11, 11-6).

285

286

287 **GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL**

288

289 1. Claims 1, 2, 5, 11-12, 15 and 22-23 were rejected under 35 USC  
290 102(a) as being anticipated by Trueblood.

291

292 2. Claims 3-4 and 13-14 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as

**APPEAL BRIEF**

**PAGE 10 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***

**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

being unpatentable over Trueblood in view of Wilks.

3. Claims 6-10 and 16-20 were rejected as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori.

4. Claim 21 was rejected as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori.

### ARGUMENT

I. With regard to the rejection of claims 1, 2, 5, 11-12, 15 and 22-23 under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Trueblood, it is respectfully submitted that there is no basis, disclosure, or teaching in Trueblood sufficient to anticipate the total combination of elements and relationships as presently set forth in the noted claims as those claims are currently presented in the Appendix.

All of the independent claims, i.e. claims 1, 11 and 23, are included in the group of claims that was rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated solely by the newly cited Trueblood reference. Trueblood discloses a method and apparatus for establishing an "always visible" class of windows (by attribute, flag or other window property) in a computer-implemented windowing environment. Window overlapping is prevented. The "always on top" feature of Trueblood teaches against the present invention since it does not allow an alert or "action required" indication and makes it more difficult if not impossible for a

322 user to work a second window application while waiting for the  
323 first window log-on screen to be generated. This is so because  
324 the "always on top" window will block at least a portion of an  
325 application screen in a second window and prevent a free use of  
326 the second window application. With the present invention, the  
327 user is enabled to fully work a second application while the log-  
328 on window for another application is processing. The present  
329 invention allows a full window presentation of the second  
330 application and provides an alert (by audio or video or  
331 intermittent flashing of the input window which requires user  
332 input) on top of the working window when the user terminal  
333 receives a request from the first application for user input.  
334

335 With specific reference to the claim language, it is noted that  
336 all of the independent claims 1, 11 and 23 include, *inter alia*,  
337 **detecting receipt of a request from a server to present an entry**  
338 **panel window at a user's display device**, displaying the entry  
339 panel window in accordance with parameters specified by the user  
340 and **enabling input of information by the user into the entry**  
341 **panel window in order to effect a continuation of the**  
342 **application**. The term "entry panel window" refers to the log-in  
343 panel or display window mentioned beginning on line 1 of page 2,  
344 wherein a user is requested to input user identification and  
345 possible a user password in order to have an accessed application  
346 continue. It is submitted that Trueblood does not disclose or  
347 teach the claimed processing methodology. Trueblood, instead,  
348 discloses only a method for keeping a selected window on top of  
349 all other windows which have not been designated as "always on  
350 top" windows.  
351

APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 12 OF 26

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1

352 As alleged anticipation for the "detecting receipt" of a request  
353 from a server to present an entry panel window, the Examiner  
354 cites column 5, lines 45 et seq. of Trueblood. However, in the  
355 cited passage, it is stated that requests are made from the user  
356 terminal to a server for the performance of a specific operation.  
357 The server then respond by performing the requested service or by  
358 sending a reply to the user that includes the requested  
359 information. This is just the opposite of what is claimed. As  
360 claimed, the present invention detects a request for log-on  
361 information from the server and then presents the log-on screen  
362 in accordance with the user display preferences for the log-on  
363 screen. Trueblood nowhere even mentions the log-on problems  
364 addressed and solved by the present invention. Therefore, it is  
365 submitted that there is no anticipation by Trueblood of the  
366 "detecting" function as set forth in the independent claims 1, 11  
367 or 23, or any of the remaining claims (2-10 and 12-22) which  
368 ultimately depend from, **and include the limitations of**, any one  
369 of the independent claims.

370  
371 Still further, as alleged anticipation for the language "enabling  
372 said input of information by said user into said entry panel  
373 window in order to effect said continuation of said application",  
374 column 5, lines 13-32 and column 16, line 20 et seq. of Trueblood  
375 are cited. Column 5, lines 13-32 contain a very general  
376 description of standard input device hardware and column 16, line  
377 20 et seq. describe an **air traffic control application** of the  
378 "always on top" feature of Trueblood. Neither document reference  
379 discloses or teaches enabling said input of information by said  
380 user into said entry panel window in order to effect said  
381 continuation of said application as is clearly set forth in the

APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 13 OF 26

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

independent claims. Therefore, it is submitted that there is no anticipation by Trueblood of, **after a detecting of a server request for information**, to enable user input to an **entry panel window in order to effect said continuation of said application** as set forth in the independent claims 1, 11 or 23, or any of the remaining claims (2-10 and 12-22) which ultimately depend from, **and include the limitations of**, any one of the independent claims. Thus it is submitted that claims 1, 2, 5, 11-12, 15 and 22-23 are allowable under 35 USC 102(a) over the Trueblood reference.

II. With regard to the rejection of claims 3-4 and 13-14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trueblood in view of Wilks, it is submitted that there is no suggestion in either reference for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination fails to suggest several of the claimed features. It is noted that claims 3 and 13 add a limitation that entry panel window intermittently appears, and claims 4 and 14 add a limitation that the entry panel window appears at regular intervals. In the Final Office Action, it was alleged that the combination of Trueblood and Wilks renders the noted features obvious. As discussed above, Trueblood does not disclose "detecting" or "enabling" as set forth in the independent claims. Wilks also does not disclose the "detecting" or "enabling" functions as claimed. Thus, even a hypothetical combination of Trueblood and Wilks cannot render claims 3-4 and 13-14 obvious since such a combination would still lack a specific disclosure of, or even a suggestion for, detecting a server request for information and, in response thereto, enabling a user input to a log-in entry panel. Further, the reference in Wilks (column 4, line 65 - column 5, line 10)

APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 14 OF 26

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1

does not teach or suggest an "intermittent display" or a display "at regular intervals" as claimed by applicant, but rather only a means for a user to manipulate a pointer in order to change a translucent window into an in-focus window. Thus, it is submitted that claims 3-4 and 13-14 are allowable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Wilks.

III. With regard to the rejection of claims 6-10 and 16-20 as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori, it is submitted that even the hypothetical combination of Trueblood and Ohmori cannot render claims 6-10 and 16-20 obvious under 35 USC 103(a) since there is no suggestion in either reference for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination fails to suggest several of the claimed features. It is noted that Ohmori discloses an edited list creating apparatus, editing apparatus and editing method by which audio and video alerts are inserted into audio/video tracks. Ohmori was cited merely to allegedly show application of audio and video alert signals at selected points in an audio/video track. Ohmori is in an entirely different field, the application is different, and even a combination of Trueblood and Ohmori would still lack a specific disclosure of, or even a suggestion for, detecting a server request for information and, in response thereto, enabling a user input to a log-in entry panel as discussed above. Thus, it is submitted that claims 6-10 and 16-20 are allowable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori.

IV. With regard to the rejection of claim 21 as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori, it is submitted that even the hypothetical combination of



Trueblood and Ohmori cannot render claim 21 obvious under 35 USC 103(a) since there is no suggestion in either reference for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination fails to suggest several of the claimed features. It is noted that claim 21 adds a limitation that the user terminal is a wireless device. Applicant is not claiming that wireless devices are novel but rather only that the specific combination of elements and relationships as set forth in 21 are not disclosed or suggested by the cited references. Claim 21 depends from and includes all of the limitations of independent claim 11 which has been distinguished above from the Trueblood and Ohmori references. Even a combination of Trueblood and Ohmori would still lack a specific disclosure of, or even a suggestion for, detecting a server request for information and, in response thereto, enabling a user input to a log-in entry panel as discussed above. Thus, it is submitted that claim 21 is allowable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori.

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, applicant urges the Board to conclude that the rejections of claims 1, 2, 5, 11-12, 15 and 22-23 under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Trueblood, and the rejection of claims 3-4 and 13-14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trueblood in view of Wilks, and the rejection of claims 6-10 and 16-20 as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori et al, and the rejection of claim 21 as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Trueblood in view of Ohmori, are not well-founded and should be

**APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 16 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

471 reversed.

472

473 Please charge IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 09-0447 in the  
474 amount of \$500.00 for submission of a Brief in Support of Appeal.  
475 No additional fee or extension of time is believed to be  
476 required; however, in the event an additional fee or extension of  
477 time is required, please charge the fee, as well as any other fee  
478 necessary to further the prosecution of this application, to the  
479 above-identified deposit account.

480

481 Respectfully submitted,  
482

483 *Robert V. Wilder*

484

485 Robert V. Wilder (Tel:512-246-8555)  
486 Registration No. 26,352  
487 Attorney for Applicant  
488 4235 Kingsburg Drive  
489 Round Rock, Texas 78681

APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 17 OF 26

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

**CLAIMS APPENDIX**

1. A method for processing a display of an entry panel window on a display device of a user terminal, said entry panel window being selectively caused to appear on said display device to enable input of information in order to effect a continuation of an application coupled to said user terminal from a remote server, said method comprising:

enabling a user to specify entry panel window parameters, said entry panel window parameters being selectively applicable for defining predetermined characteristics associated with a display of said entry panel window;

detecting a receipt of a request at said user terminal from said application at said remote server to present an entry panel window on said display device;

displaying said entry panel window received from said remote server in accordance with said entry panel window parameters specified by said user; and

enabling said input of information by said user into said entry panel window in order to effect said continuation of said application.

2. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said entry panel window parameters include a specification that said entry panel window is always displayed on top of other windows appearing on

519 said display device.

520

521 3. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said entry panel  
522 window parameters include a specification that said entry panel  
523 window intermittently appears on top of other windows appearing  
524 on said display device.

525

526 4. The method as set forth in claim 3 wherein said entry panel  
527 window parameters include a specification that said entry panel  
528 window is caused to appear on top of other windows appearing on  
529 said display device at regular intervals.

530

531 5. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said entry panel  
532 window parameters include a specification of a perceptible alert  
533 signal, said method further including generating said perceptible  
534 alert signal in response to said detecting.

535

536 6. The method as set forth in claim 5 wherein said perceptible  
537 alert signal is an audio alert signal designed to alert said user  
538 to a detection of said entry panel window.

539

540 7. The method as set forth in claim 6 and further including  
541 enabling a user to select said audio alert signal from a number  
542 of different audio alert signals.

543

544 8. The method as set forth in claim 5 wherein said perceptible  
545 alert signal is a video alert signal designed to alert said user  
546 to a detection of said entry panel window.

**APPEAL BRIEF**

**PAGE 19 OF 26**

547  
548 9. The method as set forth in claim 8 and further including  
549 enabling a user to select said video alert signal from a number  
550 of different video alert signals.  
551  
552 10. The method as set forth in claim 5 and further including  
553 enabling a user to select a combination of audio and video alert  
554 signals wherein said combination of alert signals is designed to  
555 alert said user to a detection of said entry panel window.  
556  
557 11. A user terminal including input means and a display device,  
558 said user terminal being selectively operable to effect a display  
559 of an entry panel window to enable input of information through  
560 said input means in order to effect a continuation of an  
561 application coupled to said user terminal from a remote server,  
562 said user terminal further including:  
563  
564 means for enabling a user to specify entry panel window  
565 parameters, said entry panel window parameters being selectively  
566 applicable for defining predetermined characteristics associated  
567 with a display of said entry panel window;  
568  
569 means for detecting a receipt of a request at said user terminal  
570 from said application at said remote server to present an entry  
571 panel window on said display device;  
572  
573 means for displaying said entry panel window received from said  
574 remote server on said display device in accordance with said

**APPEAL BRIEF**  
**PAGE 20 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

575 entry panel window parameters specified by said user; and  
576  
577 means for enabling said input of information by said user into  
578 said entry panel window in order to effect said continuation of  
579 said application.  
580  
581 12. The user terminal as set forth in claim 11 wherein said entry  
582 panel window parameters include a specification that said entry  
583 panel window is always displayed on top of other windows  
584 appearing on said display device.  
585  
586 13. The user terminal as set forth in claim 11 wherein said entry  
587 panel window parameters include a specification that said entry  
588 panel window intermittently appears on top of other windows  
589 appearing on said display device.  
590  
591 14. The user terminal as set forth in claim 13 wherein said entry  
592 panel window parameters include a specification that said entry  
593 panel window is caused to appear on top of other windows  
594 appearing on said display device at regular intervals.  
595  
596 15. The user terminal as set forth in claim 11 wherein said entry  
597 panel window parameters include a specification of a perceptible  
598 alert signal, said user terminal further including means for  
599 generating said perceptible alert signal in response to said  
600 detecting.  
601  
602

APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 21 OF 26

*Serial Number 09/925,258*  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

603 16. The user terminal as set forth in claim 15 wherein said  
604 perceptible alert signal is an audio alert signal designed to  
605 alert said user to a detection of said entry panel window.  
606  
607 17. The user terminal as set forth in claim 16 and further  
608 including means for enabling a user to select said audio alert  
609 signal from a number of different audio alert signals.  
610  
611 18. The user terminal as set forth in claim 15 wherein said  
612 perceptible alert signal is a video alert signal designed to  
613 alert said user to a detection of said entry panel window.  
614  
615 19. The user terminal as set forth in claim 18 and further  
616 including means for enabling a user to select said video alert  
617 signal from a number of different video alert signals.  
618  
619 20. The user terminal as set forth in claim 15 and further  
620 including means for enabling a user to select a combination of  
621 audio and video alert signals wherein said combination of alert  
622 signals is designed to alert said user to a detection of said  
623 entry panel window.  
624  
625 21. The user terminal as set forth in claim 11 wherein said user  
626 terminal is a wireless device.  
627  
628 22. The user terminal as set forth in claim 11 wherein said user  
629 terminal comprises a personal computer.  
630

**APPEAL BRIEF**  
**PAGE 22 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

23. A storage medium including machine readable coded indicia, said storage medium being selectively coupled to a reading device, said reading device being selectively coupled to processing circuitry within a computer system, said reading device being selectively operable to read said machine readable coded indicia and provide program signals representative thereof, said program signals being effective to enable for processing a display of an entry panel window on a display device of a user terminal, said entry panel window being selectively caused to appear on said display device to enable input of information in order to effect a continuation of an application coupled to said user terminal from a remote server, said program signals being further selectively operable for:

enabling a user to specify entry panel window parameters, said entry panel window parameters being selectively applicable for defining predetermined characteristics associated with a display of said entry panel window;

detecting a receipt of a request at said user terminal from said application at said remote server to present an entry panel window on said display device;

displaying said entry panel window received from said remote server in accordance with said entry panel window parameters specified by said user; and

enabling said input of information by said user into said entry



659 panel window in order to effect said continuation of said  
660 application.

**APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 24 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***  
**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

661

**EVIDENCE APPENDIX**

662

663 There are no items in this Appendix.

**APPEAL BRIEF**

**PAGE 25 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258***

**Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**

664

**RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX**

665

666 There are no items in this Appendix.

**APPEAL BRIEF  
PAGE 26 OF 26**

***Serial Number 09/925,258*  
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010663US1**